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Introduction

Regional Planning Team. Describe the different partner organizations brought together to be a part of the Regional Planning Team (K-12 District, Vocational Technical School, Community College, State University, Workforce Development Board, Massachusetts Office of Business Development, Regional Economic Development Organization, Regional Planning Authority, and more).

See Appendix C: Stakeholders’ Group on page 52 for a list of all meeting attendees and their organizations.

Regional Planning Process. Describe your region’s process to develop Labor Market Blueprint. The Workforce Skills Cabinet, comprised of the Secretaries of Education, Labor and Workforce Development, and Housing and Economic Development, initiated a regional planning process that convened regional workforce, education, and economic development entities. The overarching goal of this process is to identify critical labor supply gaps in the region and suggest strategies to close them.

The following blueprint follows the template provided by the Commonwealth to all regions undertaking this exercise. We have retained the structure of that template for ease of navigation and comparability against other blueprints.

Massachusetts is divided into 16 Workforce Development Areas (WDA), which were combined into seven larger regions for this workforce planning exercise. This blueprint discusses results of the labor supply analysis for the Greater Boston region (Region 5 in Figure 1), which includes the three WDAs of Boston, Metro North, and Metro South/West. The respective workforce development boards (WDBs) of each WDA led this project. They are Boston Private Industry Council (PIC), the Metro North Regional Employment Board (MNREB), and Partnerships for a Skilled Workforce (PSW), respectively. The three WDBs selected and convened a group of regional education, workforce, and economic development stakeholders for five meetings to spread information about the project, solicit ideas, and obtain consensus on plans for the region.
To aid them in this process, the Greater Boston region engaged the UMass Donahue Institute (UMDI) for data collection, meeting facilitation, and assistance in crafting the final blueprint.

Business Engagement. Describe how the Team engaged business to develop the blueprint, including the number of businesses engaged, the industries businesses associate with, and the format of engagement the team employed.

In addition to those already among the stakeholder meetings, the team conducted key informant interviews with employers relevant to our priority industries and occupations. The goal of these conversations was to better understand the challenges and opportunities of doing business in the region and the career pathways potential workers.
Where are we now?

Regional Context

Describe critical trends in population change in the next decade that will have an impact on the workforce.

Table 1. Populations Projections by Workforce Development Area (WDA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metro North</td>
<td>801,345</td>
<td>834,263</td>
<td>865,439</td>
<td>891,683</td>
<td>912,379</td>
<td>930,230</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>651,185</td>
<td>687,946</td>
<td>723,955</td>
<td>752,038</td>
<td>772,380</td>
<td>791,888</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro South/West</td>
<td>956,365</td>
<td>975,425</td>
<td>994,693</td>
<td>1,011,327</td>
<td>1,025,602</td>
<td>1,035,763</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,408,895</td>
<td>2,497,634</td>
<td>2,584,087</td>
<td>2,655,048</td>
<td>2,710,361</td>
<td>2,757,881</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The UMass Donahue Institute’s Population Estimates Program produces the official town-level population projects for Massachusetts. Using these data, we developed the projections shown in Table 1. From 2015 to 2035, the population of Greater Boston is expected to grow by 260,247 people, with 60 percent of that growth, or 157,414 occurring by 2025. This is equivalent to 10 percent growth by 2035 and 6 percent by 2025. All three WDAs are predicted to grow with Boston leading the way with 15 percent (or 104,000) by 2035 and Metro South/West with the least at 6 percent (or 60,300). For comparison, the state is expected to add 526,600 people for 8 percent growth by 2035, which means roughly half of net state-wide growth will be in Greater Boston.
### Table 2. Population Projections by Age Cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 19</td>
<td>539,781</td>
<td>553,749</td>
<td>559,789</td>
<td>566,915</td>
<td>571,249</td>
<td>574,554</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 34</td>
<td>555,928</td>
<td>533,390</td>
<td>531,598</td>
<td>527,421</td>
<td>530,832</td>
<td>538,825</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 54</td>
<td>677,076</td>
<td>693,586</td>
<td>717,200</td>
<td>744,787</td>
<td>759,271</td>
<td>763,520</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 to 69</td>
<td>401,586</td>
<td>440,836</td>
<td>456,298</td>
<td>450,906</td>
<td>446,346</td>
<td>459,523</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 and over</td>
<td>234,524</td>
<td>276,073</td>
<td>319,202</td>
<td>365,019</td>
<td>402,663</td>
<td>421,459</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,408,895</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,497,634</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,584,087</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,655,048</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,710,361</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,757,881</strong></td>
<td><strong>10%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Again relying on UMDI’s population projections, we examined the predictions for population by age. As is the trend elsewhere in Massachusetts and New England, the Greater Boston region is expected to age over time. Over 50 percent of net growth by 2035 will be in those 70 and over. The pattern is the same for 2025. On a positive note, the cohort with the next largest change is in those aged 35 to 54, the prime labor force participation years. Nevertheless, the population of the future will include both a larger share and greater absolute numbers of people over the age of 70. This shift in population will result in fewer workers as a share of total population, which could stymie economic growth, while also creating more demand for goods and services disproportionately consumed by the elderly such as health care.
Describe critical trends in regional demographics that will have an impact on the workforce, e.g. age, education, etc.

Table 3. Key Demographic Attributes, Greater Boston

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic Attributes</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race &amp; Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Not Hispanic</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Not Hispanic</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian, Not Hispanic</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, Not Hispanic</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>11.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nativity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Born</td>
<td>81.7%</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>77.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Born</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational Attainment (25 or older)</strong></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than High School</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grad or Professional Degree</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The demographic trends shown in Table 3 highlight three broad changes occurring in Greater Boston: increasing diversity, growth in foreign-born workers, and higher educational attainment. These trends combine to create demand for culturally-appropriate training and services for immigrants while also raising the standard for which workers should be trained. These challenges and opportunities are elaborated on elsewhere in this document.

Describe past and current high-level industry trends affecting workforce needs (i.e. growing, declining, emerging industries).

Using Executive Office of Labor and Workforce Development (EOLWD) data, since 2001, average monthly employment...

... in utilities is **down 21.9%**
... in manufacturing is **down 40.3%**
... in professional and technical services (legal services, accounting, architecture, information management, advertising, veterinary) is **up 24.9%**
... in educational services is **up 19.3%**
... in health care and social assistance is **up 47%**
... in arts, entertainment, recreation is **up 53.1%**
... in accommodation and food services is up 38.1%
These trends reflect the shift in employment away from production and manufacturing toward services and will necessitate retraining of current workers and revamping training programs for new workers.

Describe critical trends in occupational employment history in the region (i.e. growing, declining, emerging occupations).

We have defined growing occupations as those with rates of growth greater than or equal to 175 percent of the average rate of growth in the region from 2006 to 2016. During that time, the average rate of growth in occupation-based employment is 13.9 percent so occupations with employment growth of 24.3 percent or more are considered “growing” (i.e. 13.9% average * 1.75 = 24.3% floor for notable growth).

- Computer and mathematical occupations
- Community and social service occupations
- Legal occupations
- Food preparation and serving related occupations
- Personal care and service occupations

Declining occupations are defined as those whose rate of growth is negative during the 2006 to 2016 time period.

- Office and administrative support occupations
- Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations
- Construction and extraction occupations
- Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations
- Production occupations

Emerging occupations are defined as those whose share of total occupation-based employment grew significantly between 2011 and 2016. In this case, those occupations whose share of total employment grew by over one percent are considered “emerging”.

- Management occupations grew its share from 6.9 percent to 9.2 percent of total employment.
  - Management occupations also meet the criteria for “growing” occupations in that they grew by over 25 percent between 2011 and 2016.
- Missing the mark by only 0.09 percent was computer and mathematical occupations which grew from 5.5 percent to 6.4 percent of total employment.
What are the top three challenges facing the region’s business and industry over the next five years?

The Greater Boston region has benefited from strong growth over the past decade but it does not remain without challenges, some of which stem from that very growth. Below are the main business challenges we heard from our stakeholders.

**The availability of trained and prepared labor**

As of November 2017, the unemployment rate in Massachusetts was 3.6 percent. The Greater Boston region is below 3 percent. In this environment, employers can expect to have some difficulty in filling positions as there is little excess supply of labor. However, beyond what can be expected from low unemployment, businesses are having trouble finding candidates with the right mix of education, experience, aptitude, and skills.

Our stakeholder meetings suggested a few possible causes for the misalignment. First, the nature of work is changing and with it so is the nature of entry-level jobs. Employers are expecting more from workers entering the market than was previously the case. Second, job postings have education requirements that are sometimes independent of skill requirements such that some candidates who are capable of doing the job do not meet the posting’s requirements. Third, the available pool of labor includes many members who are not job-ready, be it as a result of sub-optimal numeracy, customer-service skills, or broader “soft skills” such as understanding workplace behavior, employer expectations, and the like.

**The costs of turnover and retraining**

Similarly to the above challenge, low employment and the fast-changing nature of work is creating problems retaining and retraining staff. When unemployment is low, employees who are dissatisfied or eager for change have an easier time finding new work, while employers have a harder time making counteroffers to induce workers to stay. This turnover then requires employers to seek out workers in a tight labor market or hire from within. In either case, search and training costs are added to the costs of lost productivity caused by the vacancy. Furthermore, in the case of an internal hire, one vacancy is simply traded for another: the internal hire fills one vacancy while creating another.

Even without unusual turnover, employers are still faced with the cost of retraining workers to keep abreast of the latest skills and technology. Keeping knowledge fresh while minimizing business disruptions has always been on the minds of business owners but as the pace of technological change quickens and the nation’s industry mix shifts toward services, employers are facing greater pressure.

**Transportation and housing**

Almost from the beginning of this process, our stakeholders highlighted the dual challenge of transportation and housing. They are tightly intertwined. As housing costs rise, workers seek homes further from employment centers which in turn puts greater strain on the transportation network. Simultaneously, as commutes become more arduous, housing nearer to employment centers becomes more attractive and thus more expensive. As it stands, the mismatch between the location of jobs and housing and the difficulty in commuting between the two has become a key business challenge. A
corollary to this challenge are local land use regulations that discourage density, new construction, and certain business uses.

What are the top three opportunities related to business and industry in your region over the next five years?
Below are the main business opportunities we heard from our stakeholders. Their overarching theme is the largely positive economic environment within which we seek to address a few critical labor supply gaps.

**Greater Boston is a strong region**
Greater Boston is the economic engine of the state. Employment and population are strong and growing. In some ways, the region’s housing and transportation problems are a symptom of this strength: weak regions do not have soaring home prices and traffic jams. Greater Boston’s employment has grown by 11 percent since 2011. The state’s has grown by 9 percent. Both have weathered the Great Recession better than many other regions and states. Adding to this strength is the growth of opportunities throughout the region, not merely in the City of Boston, which adds welcome diversity of place to the economic environment. Supporting private enterprise is a dynamic non-profit sector that is accustom to working with businesses to provide valuable services to the community at-large.

**Massachusetts is top in competitiveness**
Massachusetts has remained at the top of many lists of state competitiveness and looks set to continue its strength in technology and biomedical research, industries which are concentrated in the eastern part of the state. The state is a large recipient of federal research dollars and has a well-developed venture capital ecosystem. Local and state governments that are increasing open to business expansion. The region is well-situated within and well-connected to the most populated areas of the country with good highway, freight, water, and air access. Many of the region’s communities have the amenities that today’s workers demand such as culture, entertainment, walkable cityscapes, and access to outdoor recreation. A strong economy, regional amenities, and the openness of state and local governments continue to heighten the region’s attractiveness to businesses and workers.

**High-quality education system**
Another key factor in the Commonwealth’s continued competitiveness is the strength of its human capital. Massachusetts benefits from one of the most educated populations in the country, a fact built upon high quality education from kindergarten through college and beyond. The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) tests 4th and 8th graders on reading, math, and science. Massachusetts student outperform their peers on nearly every measure. If they head to college in the state, students benefit from a selection of world-class colleges and universities. Outside the formal education sector, Massachusetts has expanded apprenticeships beyond their traditional sectors of construction and manufacturing to health care and IT. The state is taking the lead in providing job-driven training through employers.
Industry Demand Analysis (NAICS)

What top three industries are most important to the region’s economic success and why?

Using the regional labor market data tool and employment data available from EOLWD, the regional planning team identified two industries that are most important to the region’s economic success. They are:

- **NAICS 62: Health Care and Social Assistance**
- **NAICS 54: Professional and Technical Services**

These two sectors are the two largest in terms of employment in the Greater Boston region with 271,000 jobs in health care and social assistance and 214,000 in professional and technical services. They also rank 1st and 2nd based on employment level change from 2001 to 2016, with health care and social assistance adding 85,463 jobs and professional and technical services increasing by 41,205 jobs. Combined, they accounted for over 485,000 jobs in the region in 2016, representing 30 percent of all payroll employment in Greater Boston.

A few other industries also had strong employment growth over this 15-year time period. The arts, entertainment, and recreation industry grew at a faster pace over this time period but is only about one-tenth the size of these two industries. Accommodation and food services increased employment substantially with the fourth highest growth rate. Educational services ranked fifth, with an 18 percent employment increase and remains a large source of employment in Greater Boston. Construction employment levels in 2016 are below those of 2001 but this industry has grown considerably over the past several years as it recovered from a severe economic recession that resulted in the loss of many construction jobs in the region.

**Table 4. Employment Change by Major Industry Sector, Greater Boston, 2001-2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation</td>
<td>18,911</td>
<td>28,941</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Health Care and Social Assistance</td>
<td>185,547</td>
<td>271,010</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Accommodation and Food Services</td>
<td>96,820</td>
<td>132,864</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Professional and Technical Services</td>
<td>172,831</td>
<td>214,036</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Educational Services</td>
<td>136,077</td>
<td>160,205</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Real Estate and Rental and Leasing</td>
<td>24,314</td>
<td>26,034</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Management of Companies and Enterprises</td>
<td>36,372</td>
<td>38,970</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Other Services, Ex. Public Admin</td>
<td>49,048</td>
<td>52,562</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Administrative and Waste Services</td>
<td>90,454</td>
<td>92,260</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44-45</td>
<td>Retail Trade</td>
<td>126,632</td>
<td>127,453</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>61,970</td>
<td>56,890</td>
<td>-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Public Administration</td>
<td>70,059</td>
<td>64,445</td>
<td>-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Finance and Insurance</td>
<td>113,972</td>
<td>103,861</td>
<td>-9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To assess each of the above industry sector’s importance to the region’s economic success, we calculated location quotients (LQ). LQ is a measure quantifying the relative concentration of a particular industry within a regional economy.¹ LQ is calculated by dividing a sector’s regional share of employment with its share of national employment. In other words, it is sectoral employment in the region divided by total employment in the region over the same calculation at the national level. An LQ of 1 means that the regional and national economies are equally specialized in a certain industry. An LQ of greater than 1 implies that the region has higher employment or is more specialized in that industry relative to the national average. An LQ of less than 1 implies that the industry is less important to the region. The amount above or below 1 is the percentage difference, e.g. 1.05 is 5 percent greater and 0.95 is 5 percent less than the national concentration, respectively.

For our regional analysis, we combined the LQ analysis with overall employment levels and employment growth to compare industries in the region. The size of the bubbles on the chart represents each industry sector’s relative employment size. The analysis shows that Professional and Technical Services has a LQ in Greater Boston of 1.96, meaning that its employment is twice the national average. It also implies that this industry is export-oriented and brings significant wealth back to the region. The Health Care and Social Assistance sector has a LQ of 1.14, meaning that employment in this sector was 14% greater than the national average. Both industries are in the upper-right quadrant of the graph because of their LQ ratios greater than 1 and positive employment growth since 2001.

¹ Rob Sentz, Understanding Location Quotient, EMSI, October 14, 2011.
Greater Boston Workforce Planning Blueprint

Figure 2. Sector Strength in Greater Boston: Location Quotient and Employment Change, 2001-2016

Source: Boston Private Industry Council, based on analysis of 2001-2016 industry employment data for Massachusetts and U.S. Health Care and Professional and Technical are shaded in yellow to reflect that they are identified as priority industries.

What three industries currently face the most significant workforce development challenges?
Based on our review of the labor market data presented above and the labor demand and supply data provided by EOLWD, the Health Care and Social Assistance and Professional and Technical Services sectors face the most significant workforce development challenges. Each of these sectors has experienced substantial growth and is projected to grow over the next 10 years. This growth will lead to increased demand for new workers, who will require substantial skills and training.
Occupational Demand Analysis (SOC)

What are the top occupations or occupational groups in which the region is facing the most significant employee shortages? Utilize the regional occupational list that ranks 3, 4 and 5 star occupations for the region and determine those with significant shortages based upon the “supply” data for the region, input from business, organizations and other input.

During the spring of 2017, EOLWD provided each region with a labor market demand and supply data set. The demand data included information on employment levels, the annual average number of job postings during 2013-2015, short and long-term (by 2024) employment projections, and median wage in 2016 by occupation. The supply data provided information on the number of new college graduates and vocational education program graduates per year in each occupational area and the number of unemployment insurance (UI) claimants in each occupation during 2016. The data on current openings, short and long-term projections, and wages were used to rank each occupation using a five star ranking metric. Occupations receiving four or five stars are those with high projected growth and high wages. The EOLWD requested that the regional teams review this data and identify occupations ranked with four or five stars and consider those for prioritization. Using this data and star classification scheme, the Greater Boston regional team identified 207 occupations that met the 4 or 5 star criteria established by EOLWD with nearly half projected to experience some degree of worker shortage at current degree projection levels.

To narrow down this list to find the occupations or occupational groups facing the most significant projected shortages, we calculated the number and share of occupations within each group that were projected to be under-supplied. Computer and mathematical occupations and health care practitioner and technical occupations (requiring a sub-BA degree) ranked among the top in terms of intensity of the projected worker shortages. Management, business and finance operations, and community and social health care also showed high shares of occupations that are projected to face similar shortages.

The computer and mathematical occupation group has 15 occupations. Of them, 13 or 87 percent were identified as being under-supplied by the labor market tool and all of them were 4 or 5 star occupations. Several of these occupations rank among the top in the number of job postings on the Conference Board’s Help Wanted Online database for the Greater Boston labor market area.

In health care, worker shortages are projected for occupations requiring less than an associate’s and up through doctorate and professional degrees. Nursing had the greatest projected demand for workers over the next ten years. However, there were several technician occupations that required more than a high school diploma but less than a bachelor’s degree identified as being under-supplied. Most of these occupations are categorized as 3 or 4 stars. National research has also shown that employers are experiencing difficulty hiring for these health care “mid-skill” occupations.

---

2 The EOWLD data set had limited information on the supply of graduates with professional/doctoral degrees.

### Table 5. Computer and Mathematical Occupations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation Group/ Title</th>
<th>Educational Requirement</th>
<th>Median Wage 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer and Information Analysts (SOC: 15-1120)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Systems Analysts</td>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>$89,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Security Analysts</td>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>$87,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software Developers and Programmers (SOC: 15-1130)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Programmers</td>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>$96,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Developers, Applications</td>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>$106,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Developers, Systems Software</td>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>$113,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Developers*</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$79,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Database Administrators and Network Architects (SOC: 15-1140)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database Administrators</td>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>$82,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network and Computer Systems Administrators</td>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>$86,693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Network Architects</td>
<td>Bachelor's degree</td>
<td>$115,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer Support Specialists (SOC: 15-1150)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer User Support Specialists*</td>
<td>Some college, no degree</td>
<td>$60,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Network Support Specialists*</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$78,221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. Health Care Occupations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation Group/ Title</th>
<th>Educational Requirement</th>
<th>Median Wage 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Diagnosing and Treating (SOC 29-1000)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Therapists</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$72,186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Technologists and Technicians (SOC: 29-2000)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical and Clinical Laboratory Technicians</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$38,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Hygienists</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$85,307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Technologists and Technicians</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$78,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Medical Sonographers</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$84,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Medicine Technologists</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$76,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiologic Technologists</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$73,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging Technologists</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$85,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary Technologists and Technicians</td>
<td>Associate's degree</td>
<td>$46,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensed Practical and Licensed Vocational Nurses</td>
<td>Postsecondary nondegree award</td>
<td>$55,133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Records and Health Information Technicians</td>
<td>Postsecondary nondegree award</td>
<td>$46,976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nursing, Psychiatric, and Home Health Aides (SOC: 31-1000)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Assistants*</td>
<td>Postsecondary nondegree award</td>
<td>$29,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Healthcare Support Occupations (SOC: 31-9000)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Assistants*</td>
<td>Postsecondary nondegree award</td>
<td>$37,674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our industry interviews suggest that radiological technologists form the base of a career ladder that extends toward the specialized imaging fields, namely computed tomography, sonography, magnetic resonance imaging, and mammography. If so, our initiatives may focus on strengthening the pipeline for certification as a radiological technologist while retaining the other fields as options for the worker’s advancement. We intend to further discuss this approach with our existing health care stakeholders. Simultaneously, we intend to discuss the health care consortium’s outlook on the current and future demand for radiological technologists and their various specializations to help improve the targeting of future initiative.

Which occupations offer a “career pathway” for workers to move to higher skills and wages, especially workers starting at entry-level? (Add 1 or 2 star occupations not included above that are entry-level yet important because of a career pathway or cluster.)

The occupations marked with an asterisk in Table 5 and Table 6 offer potential career pathways to move to higher skilled occupations. In health care, the medical assistant and nursing assistant occupations provide entry-level pathways. In the computer and mathematical occupations, all of the occupations are 4 or 5 stars, however jobs are available in each of the shaded occupations for individuals with less than an associate’s degree. Interviews with employers that hire for these health care and computer occupations confirm that, especially now with low unemployment, employers are hiring applicants for entry-level positions with lower educational attainment than before and/or taking a more active role in training.

In the healthcare fields there are a number of one- and two-star occupations that can provide entry into these industries and put an individual on a career pathway that can lead to further advancement. One and two-star occupations are low paying relative to other occupations in the region and/or are slow growing. The following occupations are typical entry level positions that can lead to career pathways in the healthcare sectors.

- Medical records clerk
- Receptionist
- Patient transporter
- Dietary aide
- Patient registrar
- Radiology film clerk
- Food service assistant
- Environmental services assistant

While there are no one- or two-star occupations in computer and mathematical occupations, the following entry-level occupations are those that typically serve as entry points to career pathways that can lead to four- and five-star occupations:

- Data entry/office software user
- Business software specialist
- Business data specialist
- Help desk technician
- Database technician
- Quality assurance tester
• Computer support technician

• Business publications specialist

Workforce Supply

What are the top three broad labor supply challenges facing the region over the next five years based on the existing workforce in the region (e.g. retirement and aging of population, low high school graduation rate, education or workforce skills of existing labor pool, limited language proficiency, etc.)?

Below are the main labor supply challenges we heard from our stakeholders.

Accommodating foreign-born workers

New England is aging at a faster rate than the rest of the nation. As a result, natural growth (births minus deaths) is falling. Not immune from these trends, Greater Boston has relied on domestic and international migration for its population growth. Since 2000, nearly 30 percent of labor force growth in the region has been from foreign-born workers; at the state level the number is over 80 percent. Because of this inflow, the unique needs of foreign-born workers has become a labor force challenge with impacts on the ability of businesses to fill vacancies.

The challenge is two-fold: streamlining the process of translating and transferring the foreign credentials of immigrants and providing sufficient English language education for those who do not speak English well. Those immigrants who arrive with training and language skills, such as engineers or medical workers, often cannot begin work in their fields without considerable effort including relicensing, exams, and other barriers. For those needing language courses, the shortage of space in existing English language classes is especially acute and its impacts are felt throughout the economy. Census data suggests the ability of foreign-born workers to speak English well is often the difference between earning below average wages or those on-par with native-born workers. As workers realize their full productivity, their economic contribution grows both by reducing their reliance on public services and by increasing their consumption, homeownership, entrepreneurship, and tax payments.

Higher average educational attainment

A greater share of the population of Massachusetts has college degrees than in any other state. While an admirable achievement and part of our attractiveness to businesses, it also provides a challenge to those in the labor force without one. The main way that this impacts workers is by creating a higher bar for employment. For example, if some occupation does not necessarily require a four-year degree but most workers in that occupation have one then the competitive reality in the marketplace is that those workers who wish to work in that occupation should consider a four-year degree. The concern for those in education and workforce development is that workers with sufficient skills for a job will still be uncompetitive relative to other jobseekers without higher levels of educational attainment. If that is the case then the level of training, and its corresponding investment of time and money, will need to be increased, which reduces the number of people who can obtain it.
Proficiency in mathematics
As has been a common theme in this blueprint, the nature of work is changing. The occupations that are growing and undersupplied almost universally require both high levels of numeracy and some amount of training beyond high school. However, large numbers of high-school graduates are unprepared for college-level math. Subpar math ability either leads students to not pursue in-demand fields that they otherwise would enjoy or requires them to spend time, money, and financial aid eligibility on remedial coursework. Both are unnecessary obstacles to training more workers for undersupplied occupations.

What are the top three labor supply opportunities facing the region over the next five years based on the existing workforce in the region?
Below are the main labor supply opportunities we heard from our stakeholders.

Higher labor force participation for older workers
While the aging workforce is creating one set of labor supply problems via retirements, older workers are also entering encore careers rather than ceasing work entirely. Whether due to better health, financial concerns, or simply personal preference, the labor force participation rate of older workers has been increasing. This change provides a new source of skilled workers available for firms and can temporarily help ease shortages in occupations suited to the skills and capabilities of older workers. Taking advantage of this human capital will require employers to adjust their preconceptions about older workers and actively work to recruit such employees.

A concentration of partners
Though only one of the seven regions organized for this planning exercise, Greater Boston is home to a third of the Commonwealth’s population and nearly half (47%) of its jobs. While this size produces challenges that are discussed later, it also confers advantages. The region is home to many communities, businesses, workers, colleges, school districts, regional planning organizations, transportation systems, and nonprofits. Each of these organizations have an existing set of programs and, crucially, a programmatic infrastructure that can be leveraged for region-wide priorities such as those outlined in this blueprint.

Attraction of domestic and international migrants
Though this blueprint focuses of labor shortages, broadly Greater Boston has witnessed strong labor supply growth, especially relative to other regions in the state, which, on net, have lost population. As with many cities, this growth has been fueled by both domestic migrants (in-state and out-of-state residents) and those from abroad. Without migrants, Greater Boston could not have sustained its economic gains. Domestic migrants typically move for employment opportunity and regional attractiveness, which Greater Boston has. International migrants also come for jobs or school but also tend to prefer places where they know someone. Openness to immigrants in the past allows Greater Boston to continue to attract them in the future.
Based upon UI Claimant population, what is the region’s largest supply of unemployed workers by job type?

The state provided each region with a unique count of unemployment insurance claimants. In Greater Boston in 2016, there were 55,345 unique uninsured claimants. The occupations of these claimants are displayed in Figure 3.

The highest number of claimants were managers with nearly 8,900 claimants in 2016. General and operations, sales, and marketing managers had the highest number of claimants within management occupations. Office and administrative support, construction, food preparation and serving, and transportation and material moving followed management in number of claimants. Several of these occupations, especially construction, have seasonal layoffs which partly explains why they rank near the top. There are also a substantial number of temporary and part-time occupations within these clusters.

On the opposite end, there were relatively low numbers of unemployed claimants in healthcare practitioner and technical and healthcare support, particularly when considering the employment levels in those fields in this region, with healthcare being the largest industry sector. Life, physical, and social sciences and community and social service occupations ranked in the bottom five in terms of unemployment claimants.
What are the characteristics of unemployed and under employed workers in the region?

The Greater Boston region is currently experiencing very low rates of unemployment. The unemployment rate for Greater Boston (the three workforce development areas) has fallen to 3% during 2016 and 2017, down from nearly 7% in 2010 and 2011 following the Greater Recession. Unemployment in Greater Boston is also below the statewide average (3.1 percent vs. 3.8 percent in 2017).
However, the Greater Boston labor market is characterized by disparities in unemployment rates of workers across age, race-ethnicity, and educational attainment. To understand differences in unemployment rates, we examined county-level unemployment data from the American Community Surveys for 2012-2016 (5-year average). The five year ACS file has a large sample size that allows for comparing subgroups of the population. We analyzed the findings for Suffolk and Middlesex counties because they represent many of the cities and towns in the Greater Boston workforce region. Below are key findings.

**Age**

In both Suffolk and Middlesex counties, unemployment rates were highest for teens (16-19) and young adults (20-24 years of age). In Suffolk County, the teen unemployment rate was 25.9 percent, more than three times the rate for all workers 16 and older during the 2012-2016 period.
Race-Ethnicity

Unemployment rates in both counties varied substantially across race-ethnicity. In Suffolk and Middlesex Counties, the unemployment rate of Black workers exceeded that of White, Non-Hispanic workers by ratios greater than 2:1 (Figure 5). Hispanic/Latino workers also experienced higher rates of unemployment compared to White workers.

Figure 5. Unemployment Rates in Suffolk and Middlesex Counties by Major Race-Ethnic Group, 2012-2016

Source: American Community Surveys, 2012-2016
Educational attainment

Unemployment rates in the region fall with increased levels of educational attainment. Due in part to a large number of high-skilled jobs in Suffolk and Middlesex Counties, the unemployment rate over the 2012-2016 time period for workers with a bachelor’s degree or degree was only 3.2 and 3.3 percent compared to 10 to 11 percent for those without a diploma or its equivalency. Unemployment rates for high-school graduates were 10.9 percent in Suffolk County and 7.3 percent in Middlesex County, considerably higher than the rate for those with a bachelor’s degree or higher.

Figure 6. Unemployment Rates in Suffolk and Middlesex Counties by Educational Attainment, 2012-2016

Source: American Community Surveys, 2012-2016
Describe the universe of the region’s existing pipelines of new workers (credentials) across public and private secondary and post-secondary institutions.

- Highest and lowest number of new graduates by credential/CIP?

The U.S. Department of Education’s Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) collects information on the credentials conferred by public and private colleges and universities. We analyzed postsecondary credentials awarded by institutions located in the Greater Boston region. During 2016, there were 40,451 graduates from institutions located in the area. The Greater Boston region has a large number of four-year private and public colleges. The share of new graduates in 2016 with a bachelor’s degree was 78 percent, with the balance of graduates split evenly at 11 percent each between associate’s degrees and postsecondary certificates. A breakdown of the fields of study by type of degree appears in Appendix B: Fields of Study in Greater Boston.

- How does retention of graduates in your region influence supply?

Due to being home to a large number of private and public universities and colleges, the Greater Boston region experiences a wave of in-migration of college students. Many of the students that attend school in this region will stay to work after earning their degree. As a result, the region is a net importer of college educated workers.

On the other hand, the region does lose a substantial amount of the students that come here to earn a postsecondary credential. Two earlier studies by the Boston Consulting Group in 2003 and World Class Cities Partnership in 2013 that tracked the retention patterns of graduates through alumni surveys from a subset of institutions in the Greater Boston region estimated that about 50 percent leave the region after earning a degree.

Despite the high share of graduates that leave the region, Greater Boston still ranks very high on measures of the 25-34 year-old workforce that have a college degree. The region is clearly one of the best educated regions in the U.S. If job market conditions continue to improve, then there are opportunities to retain more of the students that migrate to Boston to attend colleges and universities in the area.
Where do we want to go?

Criteria for Priority Industries/Occupations

The regional kick-off meetings with the WSC suggested a number of foundational criteria to prioritize industries and occupations, including existing job openings, jobs with low barriers to entry, jobs that lead to career pathways, and occupations with high demand (current openings, short/long term projections), and self-sustaining wages.

STATE CRITERIA

- High employer demand
- Talent Gaps (Ratio of Supply to Demand)
- High demand and high wage (4-5 Star Occupations)
- Career Pathways

REGIONAL CRITERIA

What additional criteria are important to your Regional Planning Team?

- Intensity of projected under supply: a high share of all the occupations in an occupational group (i.e., Computer and Mathematical) are projected to be under-supplied.
- Opportunities for people with barriers
- Occupations require some postsecondary credentialing but less than a master’s
- Support industries that are important for the region’s economic competitiveness

Priority Industries and Occupations

List your 2-3 priority industries by 2-digit NAICS. Where you choose to prioritize an industry that does not fit neatly into a 2-digit NAICS code (i.e. creative economy), note where it would best fit (i.e. Arts and Recreation) and describe the portion of the 2-digit industry you are prioritizing. For each selection, write a brief justification of your choice.

- **NAICS 62: Health Care and Social Assistance** – The largest industry in terms of employment and ranks near the top in terms of growth from 2001 to 2016, both in Greater Boston and in each of the three workforce development areas that comprise Greater Boston. The LQ analysis supports the notion that this sector is crucial for our region’s economic competitiveness. Job projections demonstrate that employment is expected to continue to grow, which will present workforce challenges, but also opportunities.

- **NAICS 54: Professional and Technical Services** – The second largest industry in terms of employment and ranks near the top in terms of growth from 2001 to 2016, both in Greater Boston and in each of the three workforce development areas that comprise Greater Boston.
The LQ analysis indicates that this sector is crucial for the region’s economic prosperity. It has grown considerably over the past 16 years and is projected to grow over the next 10 years. Firms in these industries, specifically those that employ technology workers, will face challenges in finding talent to support future growth because of the labor supply, housing, and transportation issues referenced in this blueprint.

List 3 to 5 priority occupations or occupational groups by SOC code (4-8 digit, as necessary). Include a short description justifying the choices. In building the list regions should consider:

- The list of top 4 and 5 star occupations identified in the previous section (green)
- Occupations that are part of a career pathway (but maybe lower stars) and;
- A further prioritization of those occupations on the list experiencing a constricted “supply” of workers or new graduates with necessary skills (credentials, degrees, apprenticeships etc.) for occupations.

The specific occupations that are being prioritized were listed in the previous section. At the 5-digit level, they mostly fall under these 7 groups below.

- Computer and Information Analysts (SOC: 15-1120)
- Software Developers and Programmers (SOC: 15-1130)
- Database Administrators and Network Architects (SOC: 15-1140)
- Computer Support Specialists (SOC: 15-1150)
- Health Technologists and Technicians (SOC: 29-2000)
- Nursing, Psychiatric, and Home Health Aides (SOC: 31-1000)
- Other Healthcare Support Occupations (SOC: 31-9000)
Industries and Occupations – Critical but not Prioritized. (OPTIONAL) If the team would like to describe industries and occupations that are notable in your region but not prioritized during this regional prioritization process, please list them here with a description of what makes the industry/occupation significant.

The regional planning team discussed several industries and sectors of the economy that are critical to the region because of current and projected growth, the size of the industry and its importance to the region, and because it represents a growing cluster of industries and/or occupations (i.e. Creative Economy). However, many of these sectors were not chosen as the focus of this exercise because they do not meet our regional criteria, are not macro-regional issues, or are cyclical. While not prioritized here, these sectors are the subject of initiatives within one or more of the WDBs.

The following industries were not prioritized in this blueprint, but are critical to the region.

- Hospitality
- Construction
- Finance
- Creative economy
- Life sciences
- Advanced manufacturing
- Retail

Assets
For each of the selected priority industries and occupations (purple section), articulate existing assets and gaps in capacity.

Credential Asset Mapping Tool. For priority industries and occupations that require credentials, use the Credential Asset Mapping Tool in Attachment 1 to demonstrate assets and gaps for each priority industry and occupation.

See Appendix A: Credential Asset Mapping Tool on page 31.

Non-Credential Asset and Gap Analysis. For priority industries and occupations that do not require a credential, describe what existing assets in the region can meet the employer demand, and where systemic gaps prevent meeting employer demand.

Per our regional criteria, all our selected occupations require a credential beyond high school.
Vision, Mission, Goals

Vision. State 2-5 things that you hope will be true in your region in 10 years through the lens of education, workforce development, and economic development to address the priority industries and occupations identified in the Blueprint. Consider questions such as “Which industries will thrive?” and “What are the living standards and educational levels of your population?”

The Greater Boston region will have clearly defined pathways from K-12 to higher education aligned to regional priority industries, to which all residents, especially vulnerable and disadvantaged groups, have access.

- This results in the Greater Boston region having sufficient, well-prepared, and well-educated workers in high demand fields earning livable wages.
- This allows all employers, including small employers, to find the necessary talent, space, and land use policies to thrive and grow.

Mission. State what each set of core partners has agreed to do in order to achieve your vision. What will educational partners do? What will economic development partners do? What will workforce development partners do? (For example: Our education partners will focus resources on expanding career awareness and exposure, as well as the quantity and variety of education programming in priority industries X, Y, and Z. Please note these are broad mission statements rather than specific strategies, below).

Our mission is to achieve our vision by coordinating the numerous education, industry, and workforce development efforts within our region through an ongoing working group that will focus on:

- Aligning workforce development and education (K-12 and beyond) to be responsive to the labor market by reducing skill gaps in ever-evolving high-demand fields
- Leveraging existing training programs or implementing new culturally-appropriate programs that will ensure consistency of work readiness skills in our workforce
- Influencing business and policy decisions to enact system changes that will allow underrepresented segments of our population to achieve better employment outcomes in order to address inequality in the state
- Connecting employers with appropriate housing for their workforce via transportation

Goals. Describe your shared goals for 2018, 2020, and 2022. Note that goals listed here should be those that need participation of players from multiple entities and across two or three of the systems for accomplishment.

In Appendix D, we have identified goals at the system-level, and specifically for the healthcare and computer/IT occupations that have been prioritized. The work plan in Appendix D also aligns specific strategies that we will employ to achieve each goal, the timeline for implementing the strategy, and the lead partner for the strategy. Below is a summary of some of these short and longer-term goals. Because
in many cases the initiatives required to achieve these goals will be in addition to existing programs, the availability of resources is a primary constraint on the goals’ achievability and realism.

By 2018, we will...What new programs, initiatives, or policies would you like your team to enact or create related to your priority industries and occupations?

- Establish a regional data team to:
  - Inventory current capacity for education and training for health care and IT occupations
  - Create a dashboard that identifies and tracks critical data points
  - Use inventory analysis and dashboard to set measurable targets for 2020 and 2022
  - Institutionalize the regional planning team to ensure successful implementation and monitoring of the shared strategies presented in Appendix D.

By 2020, we will...What results will those initiatives and/or programs and policies achieve? For example, how many new workers will enter the workforce; will there be reductions in labor shortages, or what industries will see stabilization?

- Our targets for 2020 will be established after completing the inventory analysis and dashboard with baseline measures.

By 2022, we will...What are the longer-term results that you will achieve by those initiatives and/or programs and policies? For example, how many new workers will enter the workforce; will there be reductions in labor shortages, or what industries will see stabilization?

- Increase the number of job seekers trained to enter priority occupations or pathways
- Reduce the labor supply gap in priority occupations
- Increase the number of postsecondary grads in programs that align with priority occupations
- Increase access to dual enrollment and DESE innovation pathway programs for suburban districts and at-risk students in areas of study aligned with our priority industries and occupations
- Reduce the share of high school grads unprepared for college math
- Increase the number of seats available in English language classes
How do we get there?

Shared Strategies

Continuous Communication. How often and in what way will you meet to review progress towards shared goals and make course corrections?

The multi-year work plan (in Appendix D) that we prepared this spring describes the strategies that we will employ to review progress toward shared goals, communicate updates, and make adjustments. We have committed to meet in person 3-4 times per year and will have a data subcommittee prepare a dashboard that will allow for monitoring progress toward goals.

Shared Measurement Systems. What data and measurement systems will you rely on to support shared understanding of how well you are meeting your goals and making progress towards a shared vision?

We have agreed to form a regional data subcommittee that will develop a dashboard that establishes baselines and shared targets for each goal. The data team will utilize updated labor market data similar to the analysis prepared for this blueprint and the credential asset mapping in the appendix to identify baselines and targets.

Other Shared Strategies. What other shared strategies will the region adopt to ensure progress towards the common agenda?

The work plan appendix D describes additional strategies aligned to each goal.
Mutually Reinforcing Activities

Education. Describe the changes in programming, recruitment, retention and placement strategies, assessment, tracking, or other strategies specific educational partners have committed to in order to meet shared regional goals.

- Propose and develop college programs in IT and health care
- Provide career awareness and exploration activities to high school and early college students to inform them of career paths in priority areas
- Ensure Pre-K through 16 expectations and coursework are aligned
- Provide professional development for high school teachers from higher education
- Explore strategies to improve college math readiness of high school graduates
- Increase access to ESOL for K-12 students

Workforce Development. Describe the changes in programming, employer relations, recruitment, retention and placement strategies tracking, or other strategies specific workforce development partners have committed to in order to meet shared regional goals.

- Focus Youth Works (publicly-funded internships) and connecting activities (employer-paid internships) on jobs in chosen sectors/occupations and career awareness activities
- Embed these goals and strategies into existing health care and technology industry initiatives
- Focus funds under control of WDBs on chosen sector jobs (i.e. ITAs – Training vouchers)
- Increase access to ESOL for adult learners

Economic Development. Describe the changes in economic development strategy that economic development partners have committed to in order to meet shared regional goals.

- Support innovative solutions to last mile services
- Support coordination of transit services across jurisdictions and providers
- Advocate for transportation and land use policies aligned with plan objectives
- Coordinate with State agencies (MOBD, Mass Development, MTCs, Digital Health, etc.) on employer retention, expansion, and attraction initiatives
Conclusion

As we undertook the challenge of devising solutions to critical labor supply gaps in our local economy, we repeatedly came back to a crucial point: we anticipate our region’s greatest challenge will be coordinating programmatic objectives that will cover one-third of the state’s population and half of its jobs. Furthermore, if we are successful, today’s labor supply gaps will not be tomorrow’s. As a result, a focus of our group will be to build an infrastructure that will allow Greater Boston to be more proactive and nimble in its approach to labor supply issues. This blueprint forms the foundation of a future approach.

As with all things, intention without means is only half the story. Identifying critical labor supply shortages in a few priority industries and occupations does not absolve us from other demands on our education, workforce development, and economic development system. In fact, ignoring our other obligations will only create greater problems in the future. We will continue to search for the resources necessary to implement the goals identified in this report. We are heartened to see that the results of the regions’ planning blueprints are already being put to use in the Governor’s FY2019 budget. Previously-announced initiatives like Housing Choice, the MBTA’s study of the future needs of the commuter rail, and the Opportunities for All strategy address many of the same challenges identified in our stakeholders meetings.

It continues to be the goal of the workforce development boards of Greater Boston and the stakeholders who provided input for this project to create an environment that serves all the region’s residents and provides them with the skills and opportunity to earn a living wage. We are looking forward to working with each other, our neighboring regions, and the Commonwealth to better serve the citizen of Greater Boston and Massachusetts.
### Appendix A: Credential Asset Mapping Tool

Table 7. Credential Asset Map for Respiratory Technicians (29-1000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>List the occupation the credential is for, including the SOC code.</th>
<th>Respiratory Technicians (29-1000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of Credential &amp; Title of Credential</td>
<td>List the type of credential (e.g. Certificate, Degree, Certification, License, or Apprenticeship Certification)</td>
<td>Degree. All degrees granted in the Greater Boston region for Respiratory Care Therapy/Therapist (CIPS code 51.0908) were master’s degrees. There were no degrees granted at any level for Respiratory Therapy Technician/Assistant (CIPS 51.0812).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credential Provider</td>
<td>List all training/education providers that provide this credential in your region. For each provider, list the average number of individuals receiving the credential per year.</td>
<td>Northeastern University Global Network - 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated/ Accelerated</td>
<td>Is the training integrated with work experience and/or accelerated for adult learners? If no, how to basic learners matriculate?</td>
<td>The program is geared toward adult learners and includes experiential learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online/ Classroom/ Work-based</td>
<td>Describe education environment and instructional methods.</td>
<td>Can be done online or in-person, full-time or part-time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell-eligible?</td>
<td>Is the program Pell-eligible?</td>
<td>No, post-graduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee?</td>
<td>What are the fees?</td>
<td>Approx. $30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer-validated?</td>
<td>Do local employers validate the credential? If so, describe.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stackable?</td>
<td>Is the credential stackable with other certificates? If so, describe.</td>
<td>Credential is a master’s degree though some of its requirements may be available to be used toward another program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable?</td>
<td>Are the credentials portable to other states/industries? If so, describe.</td>
<td>Yes, the program focuses on leadership and management training for existing respiratory therapists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit/ Non-Credit?</td>
<td>Are they credit or non-credit?</td>
<td>Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps?</td>
<td>Are there gaps in the pipeline for this occupation that require new strategies in the blueprint?</td>
<td>This program is designed for existing respiratory care therapists so it does not add to supply. That does not mean other institutions are not training respiratory techs, it only means that their degrees/certificates are not classified using the precise code for this award type (51.0908).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8. Credential Asset Map for Health Technologists and Technicians (29-2000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Health Technologists and Technicians (29-2000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roughly half of all credentials awarded are degrees (386/801). Nearly all the remaining are certificates below the baccalaureate level (414/801). The degrees used for this category are as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Credential &amp; Title of Credential</td>
<td>CIPS Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.0602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.0901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.0910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.0905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.0911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.0920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.0808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.3901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.0707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credential Provider</td>
<td>Institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assabet Valley Regional Technical School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benjamin Franklin Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blue Hills Regional Technical School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boston University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bunker Hill Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fisher College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degree Name</td>
<td>Awards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular Technology/Technologist</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical/Medical Laboratory Science/Research and Allied Professions</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Hygiene/Hygienist</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic Medical Sonography/Sonographer and Ultrasound Technician</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Information/Medical Records Technology/Technician</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensed Practical/Vocational Nurse Training</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Technology/Technician</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Medical Technology/Technologist</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiologic Technology/Science - Radiographer</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinary/Animal Health Technology/Technician and Veterinary Assistant</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many of these programs can be done part-time, online, or with non-traditional classroom hours though they do require onsite clinical training. Because of industry regulation, certified technicians must pass board exams thus whatever the pedagogical methods or special accommodations for non-traditional students, all student must still pass a standard exam to be able to practice in their field.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no, how to basic learners matriculate?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online/Classroom/Work-based</td>
<td>Describe education environment and instructional methods. Can be done online or in-person, full-time or part-time. Require onsite clinical rotation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell-eligible?</td>
<td>Is the program Pell-eligible? The vast majority of these programs are not post-graduate (all but 22 of 801) and are offered by institutions that participate in Title IV (federal financial aid) therefore it is likely that many are eligible for Pell grants and other federal aid. Some of these programs are for less than one academic year’s effort, which may limit their eligibility for financial aid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee?</td>
<td>What are the fees? Varies by degree/certificate type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer-validated?</td>
<td>Do local employers validate the credential? If so, describe. Because sub-baccalaureate degree/certificate programs are meant to offer practical training to create the opportunity for gainful employment for students, many associate’s degree programs and sub-baccalaureate certificates are created with input from employers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stackable?</td>
<td>Is the credential stackable with other certificates? If so, describe. Yes. Many of these credentials form the first step in a worker’s career path. For example, many MRI/CT/Mammography techs start as radiology techs before adding more training and credentials.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable?</td>
<td>Are the credentials portable to other states? Though the credential may be accepted, workers would likely have to retest for another state’s boards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit/Non-Credit?</td>
<td>Are they credit or non-credit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps?</td>
<td>Are there gaps in the pipeline for this occupation that require new strategies in the blueprint?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9. Credential Asset Map for Nursing Aides (31-1000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>List the occupation the credential is for, including the SOC code.</th>
<th>Nursing Aides (31-1000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type of Credential &amp; Title of Credential</td>
<td>List the type of credential (e.g. Certificate, Degree, Certification, License, or Apprenticeship Certification)</td>
<td>All credentials awarded in Nursing Assistant/Aide and Patient Care Assistant/Aide (CIPS 51.3902) were for certificates requiring less than one academic year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credential Provider</td>
<td>List all training/education providers that provide this credential in your region. For each provider, list the average number of individuals receiving the credential per year.</td>
<td>Institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bunker Hill Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Middlesex Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated/Accelerated</td>
<td>Is the training integrated with work experience and/or accelerated for adult learners? If no, how to basic learners matriculate?</td>
<td>Many of these programs can be done part-time, online, or with non-traditional classroom hours though they do require onsite clinical training. Because of industry regulation, nursing aides must pass board exams thus whatever the pedagogical methods or special accommodations for non-traditional students, all student must still pass a standard exam to be able to practice in their field.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online/Classroom/Work-based</td>
<td>Describe education environment and instructional methods.</td>
<td>Can be done online or in-person, full-time or part-time. Must do an onsite clinical rotation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell-eligible?</td>
<td>Is the program Pell-eligible?</td>
<td>Programs of less than one academic year require extra approvals from the Department of Education before being declared eligible for federal financial aid. It is possible that some nursing aide programs may not offer aid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee?</td>
<td>What are the fees?</td>
<td>Varies by degree/certificate type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer-validated?</td>
<td>Do local employers validate the credential? If so, describe.</td>
<td>Because sub-baccalaureate degree/certificate programs are meant to offer practical training to create the opportunity for gainful employment for students, many associate’s degree programs and sub-baccalaureate certificates are created with input from employers. In this case, hospitals are going a step farther and taking on much of the training themselves (see note on Gaps below).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stackable?</td>
<td>Is the credential stackable with other certificates? If so, describe.</td>
<td>Yes. Can be used to begin a career in nursing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable?</td>
<td>Are the credentials portable to other states/industries? If so, describe.</td>
<td>Though the credential may be accepted, workers would likely have to retest for another state’s boards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit/Non-Credit?</td>
<td>Are they credit or non-credit?</td>
<td>Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps?</td>
<td>Are there gaps in the pipeline for this occupation that require new strategies in the blueprint?</td>
<td>Low unemployment may be pushing some hospitals to move away from seeking certified nursing aides, which requires the student spend time at a skilled nursing facility where training slots are capacity-constrained and often teach skills different from those needed in an acute care setting. Hospitals can train workers for the skills they will need in their specific role but the training would not yield a marketable and portable certification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 10. Credential Asset Map for Other Health Care Support (31-9000): Medical and Clinical Assistants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Other Health Care Support (31-9000): Medical and Clinical Assistants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>List the occupation the credential is for, including the SOC code.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Credential &amp; Title of Credential</th>
<th>List the type of credential (e.g. Certificate, Degree, Certification, License, or Apprenticeship Certification)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The region awarded 370 credentials for Medical/Clinical Assistants (CIPS 51.0801). Most (228) are certificates below the baccalaureate level of at least one but less than two academic years’ effort. However, 43 associate’s degrees were awarded along with 99 awards for programs of less than one academic year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credential Provider</th>
<th>List all training/education providers that provide this credential in your region. For each provider, list the average number of individuals receiving the credential per year.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Awards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay State College</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunker Hill Community College</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher College</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Technical Institute-Somerville</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Professional Institute</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middlesex Community College</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millennium Training Institute</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Salter School-Malden Campus</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integrated/Accelerated</th>
<th>Is the training integrated with work experience and/or accelerated for adult learners? If no, how to basic learners matriculate?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Many of these programs can be done part-time, online, or with non-traditional classroom hours though they do require onsite practical training.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Online/Classroom/Work-based</th>
<th>Describe education environment and instructional methods.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Can be done online or in-person, full-time or part-time. Must do an onsite practical training.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell-eligible?</td>
<td>Is the program Pell-eligible?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee?</td>
<td>What are the fees?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer-validated?</td>
<td>Do local employers validate the credential? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stackable?</td>
<td>Is the credential stackable with other certificates? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable?</td>
<td>Are the credentials portable to other states/industries? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit/Non-Credit?</td>
<td>Are they credit or non-credit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps?</td>
<td>Are there gaps in the pipeline for this occupation that require new strategies in the blueprint?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 11. Credential Asset Map for Computer and Information Analysts (15-1120)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Computer and Information Analysts (15-1120)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>List the occupation the credential is for, including the SOC code.</td>
<td>The region awarded 44 credentials for Computer and Information Systems Security/Information Assurance (CIPS 11.1003) and 0 for Computer Systems Analysts (11.0501). The awards included 30 bachelor’s degrees, 12 master’s degrees, and 2 certificates of less than one academic year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Credential &amp; Title of Credential</th>
<th>List the type of credential (e.g. Certificate, Degree, Certification, License, or Apprenticeship Certification)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>List all training/education providers that provide this credential in your region. For each provider, list the average number of individuals receiving the credential per year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credential Provider</th>
<th>Institutions</th>
<th>Awards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boston University</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandeis University</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITT Technical Institute-Norwood</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITT Technical Institute-Wilmington</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Bay Community College</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integrated/ Accelerated</th>
<th>Is the training integrated with work experience and/or accelerated for adult learners? If no, how to basic learners matriculate?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some of these programs can be done part-time, online, or with non-traditional classroom hours. However, given that they are mainly college degrees, it may not be possible to finish the entire program without some in-person instruction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Online/ Classroom/ Work-based</th>
<th>Describe education environment and instructional methods.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Can be done online or in-person, full-time or part-time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pell-eligible?</th>
<th>Is the program Pell-eligible?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>These programs are offered by institutions that participate in Title IV (federal financial aid) therefore it is likely that many are eligible for Pell grants and other federal aid. The 12 post-graduate degrees are not Pell-eligible while the programs of under one year also may not be.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fee?</strong></td>
<td><strong>What are the fees?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employer-validated?</strong></td>
<td>Do local employers validate the credential? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stackable?</strong></td>
<td>Is the credential stackable with other certificates? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Portable?</strong></td>
<td>Are the credentials portable to other states/industries? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credit/Non-Credit?</strong></td>
<td>Are they credit or non-credit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gaps?</strong></td>
<td>Are there gaps in the pipeline for this occupation that require new strategies in the blueprint?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 12. Credential Asset Map for Software Developers and Programmers (15-1130)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>List the occupation the credential is for, including the SOC code.</th>
<th>Software Developers and Programmers (15-1130)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Credential &amp; Title of Credential</strong></td>
<td>List the type of credential (e.g. Certificate, Degree, Certification, License, or Apprenticeship Certification)</td>
<td>The region awarded 26 degrees and certificates for Computer Programming (CIPS 11.02) and Web/Multimedia Management (CIPS 11.1004). Eight were associate’s degrees and 18 were for programs of less than one academic year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credential Provider</strong></td>
<td>List all training/education providers that provide this credential in your region. For each provider, list the average number of individuals receiving the credential per year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Award</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunker Hill Community College</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Bay Community College</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>26</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Integrated/ Accelerated</strong></td>
<td>Is the training integrated with work experience and/or accelerated for adult learners? If no, how to basic learners matriculate?</td>
<td>Some of these programs can be done part-time, online, or with non-traditional classroom hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Online/ Classroom/ Work-based</strong></td>
<td>Describe education environment and instructional methods.</td>
<td>Can be done online or in-person, full-time or part-time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pell-eligible?</strong></td>
<td>Is the program Pell-eligible?</td>
<td>These programs are offered by institutions that participate in Title IV (federal financial aid) therefore it is likely that many are eligible for Pell grants and other federal aid. Programs of under one year may not be.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fee?</strong></td>
<td>What are the fees?</td>
<td>Varies by degree/certificate type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employer-validated?</strong></td>
<td>Do local employers validate the credential? If so, describe.</td>
<td>Because sub-baccalaureate degree/certificate programs are meant to offer practical training to create the opportunity for gainful employment for</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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students, many associate’s degree programs and sub-baccalaureate certificates are created with input from employers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stackable?</th>
<th>Is the credential stackable with other certificates? If so, describe.</th>
<th>Somewhat. The associate’s degrees awarded in this field can be a useful, though not necessary, step toward further advanced study in related field.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portable?</td>
<td>Are the credentials portable to other states/industries? If so, describe.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit/Non-Credit?</td>
<td>Are they credit or non-credit?</td>
<td>Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps?</td>
<td>Are there gaps in the pipeline for this occupation that require new strategies in the blueprint?</td>
<td>All the information presented for the IT credentials was found using the closest available degree name. In many cases, institutions do not award degrees with this level of specificity, especially for bachelor’s degrees and higher so they are not captured in this analysis. That being said, it is likely that many of the graduates with general degrees do possess the necessary skills to fill various priority occupations. Our interviews confirm this possibility by suggesting that many employers look for general skills in entry-level candidates, who specialize as their career progresses. See the final asset map for a description of all computer-related bachelor’s degrees.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 13. Credential Asset Map for Database Administrators and Network Architects (15-1140) and Computer Support Specialists (15-1150)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>List the occupation the credential is for, including the SOC code.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Database Administrators and Network Architects (15-1140) and Computer Support Specialists (15-1150)</td>
<td>The region awarded 115 degrees and certificates in Computer/Information Technology Administration and Management (CIPS 11.10) and for Computer Support Specialists (CIPS 11.1006). Almost 70% were degrees (14 associate’s, 30 bachelor’s, and 33 master’s). The remaining 38 were mainly for brief programs of less than one year, of which 1 was for Computer Support Specialists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Credential &amp; Title of Credential</th>
<th>List the type of credential (e.g. Certificate, Degree, Certification, License, or Apprenticeship Certification)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For each provider, list the average number of individuals receiving the credential per year.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credential Provider</th>
<th>List all training/education providers that provide this credential in your region. For each provider, list the average number of individuals receiving the credential per year.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boston University</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandeis University</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunker Hill Community College</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITT Technical Institute-Norwood</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITT Technical Institute-Wilmington</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Bay Community College</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millennium Training Institute</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>115</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Integrated/Accelerated</th>
<th>Is the training integrated with work experience and/or accelerated for adult learners? If no, how to basic learners matriculate?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some of these programs can be done part-time, online, or with non-traditional classroom hours. However, given that they are mainly college degrees, it may not be possible to finish the entire program without some in-person instruction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Online/Classroom/Work-based</th>
<th>Describe education environment and instructional methods.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can be done online or in-person, full-time or part-time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell-eligible?</td>
<td>Is the program Pell-eligible?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee?</td>
<td>What are the fees?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer-validated?</td>
<td>Do local employers validate the credential? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stackable?</td>
<td>Is the credential stackable with other certificates? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable?</td>
<td>Are the credentials portable to other states/industries? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit/Non-Credit?</td>
<td>Are they credit or non-credit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps?</td>
<td>Are there gaps in the pipeline for this occupation that require new strategies in the blueprint?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 14. Credential Asset Map for Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services (CIPS 11) and Computer Engineering (CIPS 14.09)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>List the occupation the credential is for, including the SOC code.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental credential data for all computer and IT occupations. This asset map focuses on the bachelor’s degrees awarded in the broadest categorization of computer-related fields, which will help to address the true supply of trained workers for the widest variety of in-demand computer and IT fields. These numbers do include some overlap with the preceding computer/IT asset maps. Any bachelor’s degrees with CIPS code beginning with 11 are also counted here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Credential &amp; Title of Credential</td>
<td>List the type of credential (e.g. Certificate, Degree, Certification, License, or Apprenticeship Certification)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The region awarded 1,301 bachelor’s degrees in Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services (CIPS 11) from 27 institutions and 87 bachelor’s degrees from 5 institutions in Computer Engineering (CIPS 14.09).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credential Provider</td>
<td>List all training/education providers that provide this credential in your region. For each provider, list the average number of individuals receiving the credential per year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutions</td>
<td>Bachelor's Degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentley University</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston College</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston University</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandeis University</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher College</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham State University</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITT Technical Institute-Norwood</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITT Technical Institute-Wilmington</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Institute of Technology</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newbury College</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeastern University</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeastern University Global Network</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated/Accelerated</td>
<td>Is the training integrated with work experience and/or accelerated for adult learners? If no, how to basic learners matriculate?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online/Classroom/Work-based</td>
<td>Describe education environment and instructional methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell-eligible?</td>
<td>Is the program Pell-eligible?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fee?</td>
<td>What are the fees?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer-validated?</td>
<td>Do local employers validate the credential? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stackable?</td>
<td>Is the credential stackable with other certificates? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portable?</td>
<td>Are the credentials portable to other states/industries? If so, describe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit/Non-Credit?</td>
<td>Are they credit or non-credit?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps?</td>
<td>Are there gaps in the pipeline for this occupation that require new strategies in the blueprint?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix B: Fields of Study in Greater Boston

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIPS Degree Field</th>
<th>Certificates Below BA</th>
<th>Associate’s</th>
<th>Bachelor’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, Agriculture Operations and Related Sciences</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources and Conservation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture and Related Services</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area, Ethnic, Cultural, Gender, and Group Studies</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication, Journalism, and Related Programs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications Technologies/Technicians and Support Services</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer and Information Sciences and Support Services</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>1,301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal and Culinary Services</td>
<td>1,063</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering Technologies and Engineering-related Fields</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Languages, Literatures, and Linguistics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Professions and Studies</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Language and Literature/Letters</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberal Arts and Sciences, General Studies and Humanities</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,094</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological and Biomedical Sciences</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics and Statistics</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, Recreation, Leisure and Fitness Studies</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philosophy and Religious Studies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theology and Religious Vocations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Greater Boston Workforce Planning Blueprint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIPS Degree Field</th>
<th>Certificates Below BA</th>
<th>Associate’s</th>
<th>Bachelor’s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Sciences</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science Technologies/Technicians</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>2,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Security, Law Enforcement, Firefighting, and Related Protective Service</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Administration and Social Service Professions</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Sciences</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Trades</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanic and Repair Technologies/Technicians</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision Production</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual and Performing Arts</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Professions and Related Programs</td>
<td>1,445</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>3,373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business, Management, Marketing, and Related Support Services</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>6,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,668</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,826</strong></td>
<td><strong>33,656</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IPEDS
# Appendix C: Stakeholders’ Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paul Matthews</td>
<td>495/MetroWest Partnership</td>
<td>Geoff Vercauteren</td>
<td>Mass. Dept. of Higher Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aixa Beauchamp</td>
<td>Beauchamp and Associates</td>
<td>Jennifer Gaudet</td>
<td>Maynard Public Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanne Pokaski</td>
<td>Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center</td>
<td>Chris Albrizio-Lee</td>
<td>Metro North REB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alysia Ordway</td>
<td>Boston PIC</td>
<td>Sunny Schwartz</td>
<td>Metro North REB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela McCabe</td>
<td>Boston PIC</td>
<td>Josh Eichen</td>
<td>Metropolitan Area Planning Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anika Van Eaton</td>
<td>Boston PIC</td>
<td>Marc Draisien</td>
<td>Metropolitan Area Planning Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe McLaughlin</td>
<td>Boston PIC</td>
<td>Paul Joseph</td>
<td>MetroWest Chamber of Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Sullivan</td>
<td>Boston PIC</td>
<td>Judy Burke</td>
<td>Middlesex Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Sylvaria</td>
<td>Boston Public Schools</td>
<td>Elizabeth Skidmore</td>
<td>New England Regional Council of Carpenters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alice Murillo</td>
<td>Bunker Hill Community College</td>
<td>Sylvia Beville</td>
<td>Partnerships for a Skilled Workforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Hallsmith</td>
<td>Bunker Hill Community College</td>
<td>Salvador A. Pina</td>
<td>Roxbury Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Elias Bloomer</td>
<td>Bunker Hill Community College</td>
<td>Christopher Jurek</td>
<td>UMDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Eddinger</td>
<td>Bunker Hill Community College</td>
<td>Dana Henry</td>
<td>UMDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christine Tibor</td>
<td>Framingham Public Schools</td>
<td>Elizabeth Williams</td>
<td>UMDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Javier Cevallos</td>
<td>Framingham State University</td>
<td>Mark Melnik</td>
<td>UMDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katie Hebert</td>
<td>Framingham State University</td>
<td>Rod Motamedi</td>
<td>UMDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Podell</td>
<td>Mass Bay Community College</td>
<td>Steven Aalto</td>
<td>Work Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Noonon</td>
<td>Mass Rehabilitation Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Names in *italics* are members of the three workforce development boards of Greater Boston. Names in *underline* are the members of the UMass Donahue Institute who facilitated this process.
### Appendix D: Greater Boston Region’s Work Plan, May 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Planning - System level</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Institutionalize the regional planning team to ensure successful implementation and monitoring of Greater Boston’s Regional Blueprint strategies.</td>
<td>a Convene the Regional Planning Team to revisit, revise and renew goals and strategies for the next four years: connect other training and education providers to the process, re-assess membership, increase education, economic development/ business, and workforce development participation; increase involvement of professional association and K-12, including MAVA; hold 3-4 meetings per year.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b Establish a regional planning data team to develop a dashboard, share labor market information, track progress on dashboard metrics, and identify data gaps.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c Utilizing credential asset mapping and regional labor demand/ supply data, develop a high-level dashboard that establishes baselines for existing priority occupations and education/training program capacity to facilitate setting specific targets by end of 2018.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF/educ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d Continue the work of the regional planning group to track progress through the dashboard</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>WF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e Strengthen connections with existing city, town, planning organizations’ sector initiatives in IT and healthcare to coordinate strategies.</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>ED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Better align education with workforce, with a specific focus on alignment in priority occupations</td>
<td>a As a regional team, propose and develop college/ training programs in priority occupations in health care and computer/ IT. This includes launching early college programs and innovation pathways with funding from DESE’s High Quality College and Career Pathways initiative.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Educ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b Increase capacity of existing programs aligned to health care and computer/IT priorities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greater Boston Workforce Planning Blueprint</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c</strong></td>
<td>Create incentives to encourage youth/adults to pursue career paths in priority fields and advocate for tuition free or reduced tuition for priority programs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d</strong></td>
<td>Share labor market information, skill demands, and asset mapping with OSCC staff and workforce training partners to inform them of the priority jobs and skills in demand and the training paths available.</td>
<td>2018 WF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>e</strong></td>
<td>Increase career awareness and exploration activities to high school and early college students to inform them of career paths in health care and computer/IT</td>
<td>2020 Educ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>f</strong></td>
<td>Provide professional development for high school and college teachers to work on curricular alignment and gain exposure to priority occupation skill demands</td>
<td>2020 Educ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>g</strong></td>
<td>Ensure that Pre-K through 16 expectations are aligned by working with state and local education partners</td>
<td>2022 Educ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>h</strong></td>
<td>Increase access to dual enrollment programs for suburban districts and at-risk students</td>
<td>2022 Educ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Reduce the share of new high school grads and adults returning to college who are unprepared for college math</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a</strong></td>
<td>Explore strategies used in other states to improve college math readiness of high school grads</td>
<td>2020 Educ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b</strong></td>
<td>Rethink the math requirement as it relates to occupational choice and the math required in that occupational field</td>
<td>2020 WF/educ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c</strong></td>
<td>Provide professional development for high school and college teachers to work on curricular alignment in math courses, particularly for priority fields.</td>
<td>2022 Educ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Improve ability to track education and employment outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a</strong></td>
<td>Request that the state reestablishes representative job vacancy surveys to identify jobs that are taking a long time to fill or are going unfilled in the region.</td>
<td>2019 WF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Improve transportation linkages to targeted employment and workforce resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Convene separate meeting/committee that is focused on transportation connection. Invite representatives from MassDOT planning staff to present current strategies that address workforce issues and offer perspective on this regional blueprint.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Support innovative solutions to last mile strategies</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Coordinate transit services through regional transit authorities (RTAs), transportation management associations (TMAs), and other best practices</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Advocate for transportation and land use policies aligned with plan objectives</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Integrate regional needs of high priority industries with commuter rail vision plan and operating contract.</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Coordinate with state agencies (MOBD, Mass Development, MTCs, Digital Health), and regional and municipal agencies on employer retention, expansion and attraction initiatives</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Improve connections between housing policies and industry/occupation priorities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Convene a meeting focused on housing strategies and their connection to workforce development. Organize a presentation on the Governor’s Housing Choice Initiative and invite local and state housing agencies to participate, including DHCD.</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional Planning: Healthcare Occupation</strong></td>
<td><strong>1. Engage existing employer groups/sector initiatives to identify one or more priority occupations to attempt to close gaps</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Update Healthcare Careers Consortium (HCC) on regional planning occupational priorities and align occupational priorities and strategies; identify employers outside of Boston who are not HCC members to provide perspective on hiring needs related to priority occupations.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Working with the HCC, expand registered apprenticeship programs in Greater Boston and create a community of practice to learn what works.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Increase pipeline of workers for priority occupations in blueprint, with a specific year one focus on Nursing Assistant, Medical equipment preparers (Central Processing Tech), Medical Lab Technicians and Technologists, and Medical Assistants</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Utilizing existing asset mapping, inventory existing and planned education/training capacity to establish baseline enrollment capacity and track utilization. This analysis will include K-12, higher education, and nonprofit/CBO training capacity. Use this analysis to identify gaps in capacity.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Educ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>Study factors that influence the supply of workers for these 4 occupational groups.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Coordinate awareness activities with BoSTEM out-of-school time programming and regional STEM networks, such as the Boston-Metro North network.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Utilize publicly funded career awareness programs and internships (e.g., YouthWorks and Connecting Activities) to create opportunities for youth in healthcare fields</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>Assess the effectiveness of piloted apprenticeship (% completing, employment, wage growth)</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>Strengthen or expand CTE (k-12) pathways that lead to employment/postsecondary training in these 4 occupations.</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>WF/educ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Increase certificate and Associate degree completers in region by XX%</td>
<td>Establish growth goals following completion of dashboard and capacity analysis by end of 2018.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Educ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The blueprint strategies should increase the number of students entering and completing programs.</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>Educ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Engage existing employer groups/sector initiatives to identify one or more priority occupations and attempt to close gaps</td>
<td>a Update TechHire Boston on regional planning occupational priorities and align priorities and strategies</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b Engage trade organizations and employers in the region who are not represented at TechHire Boston convenings.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c Working with TechHire Boston, other employers, and the state’s Apprenticeship team, recruit 1-2 employers to pilot registered IT-apprenticeship programs.</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increase high school and college work-based learning opportunities in region.</td>
<td>a Utilize publicly funded career awareness programs and internships (e.g., YouthWorks and Connecting Activities) to create opportunities for youth in computer/IT fields.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b Create job shadow opportunities in computer/IT settings.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF/educ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c Aligning with system goal #2, encourage high schools to pursue early college/innovation pathway structures in computer/IT fields through DESE’s High Quality College and Career Pathways designations.</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>WF/educ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d Grow Boston’s high school internship in technology fields by 50% over 2 years through employer outreach</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e Expand tech high school internships across Greater Boston</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f Create more work-based learning opportunities for community college students in computer/IT fields</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>WF/educ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Increase access to and awareness of computer/IT jobs</td>
<td>a Share existing research on diversity and job quality challenges that currently affect the supply of workers with regional planning team and educators/employers in the region. Use existing research to inform strategies to boost the supply of workers.</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Greater Boston Workforce Planning Blueprint

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coordinate awareness activities with BoSTEM out-of-school time programming and regional STEM networks, such as the Boston-Metro North network.</strong></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allocate more workforce funding for IT sector projects and prioritize training vouchers for target occupations</strong></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>WF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increase labor market participation and employment of groups under-represented in the priority computer/IT industries and occupations</strong></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>WF/educ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **4. Increase the number of postsecondary education completers in computer/IT programs by XX%** |
|---|---|---|
| **Utilizing existing asset mapping, inventory existing and planned education/training capacity to establish baseline enrollment capacity and track utilization. This analysis will include K-12, higher education, and nonprofit/CBO training capacity. Use this analysis to identify gaps in capacity for priority occupations.** | 2018 | WF |
| **Using capacity analysis and employer/educator feedback, identify one to two occupational groups within the computer/IT priority to focus on during years 1-2.** | 2018 | WF |
| **Establish growth goals following completion of dashboard and identification of specific occupational paths by end of 2018.** | 2018 | Educ |
| **The blueprint strategies should increase the number of students entering and completing programs.** | 2022 | Educ |